

FANIA E. DAVIS, STATE BAR #87268
MOORE AND MOORE
445 Bellevue Avenue, Second Floor
Oakland, CA 94610
Telephone Number: (510) 451-0104
Facsimile: (510) 451-5056

Attorneys for Plaintiff
HATTIE FINN-CARTER

DENNIS J. HERRERA, State Bar #139669
City Attorney
ELIZABETH S. SALVESON, STATE BAR # 83788
Chief Labor Attorney
RAFAL OFIERSKI, State Bar #194798
Deputy City Attorney
Fox Plaza
1390 Market Street, 5th Floor
San Francisco, California 94102-5408
Telephone: (415) 554-3825
Facsimile: (415) 554-4248

Attorneys for Defendants
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,
CHRIS LOGIA, DAN GILMAN, and HERB DANG

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ANTIA LELAIND,

Plaintiff,
vs.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO; PUBLIC UTILITIES
COMMISSION; DAN GILMAN, in his
individual and official capacities; CHRIS
LOGIA, in his individual and official
capacities; HERB DANG, in his individual
and official capacities; and DOES 1
THROUGH 50,

Defendants.

Case No. C06-05870 MHP

**STIPULATION REGARDING
EXTENDING DEADLINE TO
COMPLETE SETTLEMENT
CONFERENCE AND ~~PROPOSED~~
ORDER**

Pursuant to Civil L.R. 6-2 and ADR L.R. 6-5, Plaintiff ANTIA LELAIND and
Defendants CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, PUBLIC UTILITIES

STIPULATION RE EXTENDING SETTLEMENT
CONFERENCE DEADLINE
CASE NO. CO6-05870 MHP

1 COMMISSION, CHRIS LOGIA, DAN GILMAN, and HERB DANG hereby stipulate as
2 follows:

3 (1) Plaintiff contends that, in order to maximize the possibility of a fruitful
4 negotiation in the parties' second attempt to settle the case, additional time is needed to
5 conduct additional discovery. Plaintiff particularly seeks to depose the former General
6 Manager of the PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION ("PUC"), Pat Martel, along with
7 several of Plaintiff's co-workers.
8

9 (2) Further, Plaintiff's counsel contends that additional time is needed to allow her
10 to brief the Court's discovery and evidentiary cut-off ruling as discussed during the most
11 recent case management conference.
12

13 (3) Plaintiff also believes that, since Plaintiff's ability to return to work is highly
14 relevant to an evaluation of the case, additional time to allow both sides to conduct medical
15 examinations and prepare medical reports will also facilitate settlement efforts.
16

17 (4) Although Defendants believe that there was sufficient time to prepare for the
18 settlement conference as currently scheduled, Defendants do not oppose Plaintiff's request.
19

20 (5) Currently, the Settlement Conference is set for August 21, 2007. The parties
21 are available for a rescheduled date of October 18, 2007. Magistrate Judge Joseph C. Spero's
22 office has indicated that he is available on this date. (The parties are required to lodge
23 Settlement Conference Statements in chambers fourteen (14) calendar days before the
24 Settlement Conference.) The parties further agree to a cut-off of October 25, 2007 for
25 conducting the Settlement Conference.
26
27
28

(6) There have been no previous time modifications to any deadlines in this case.
The proposed extension of time will not alter any deadlines previously set by the Court in this case.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: August 6, 2007

MOORE & MOORE

_____/s/
FANIA E. DAVIS, ESQ.

Attorney for Plaintiff
ANTIA LELAIND

Dated: August 6, 2007

DENNIS J. HERRERA
City Attorney
ELIZABETH S. SALVESON
Chief Labor Attorney

By: _____/s/
RAFAL OFIERSKI
Deputy City Attorney
Attorneys for Defendants
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,
CHRIS LOGIA, DAN GILMAN, and HERB DANG

[PROPOSED] ORDER

BASED ON THE ABOVE STIPULATION and for good cause, the deadline for conducting the Settlement Conference in this case is extended from August 22, 2007 to October 25, 2007.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 8/31/2007

By

HONORABLE
United States District Court

